

Exercise Set 3: Seiberg Duality in Practice

The Dualised Standard Model — Part III Exercises

Lent Term 2027

Conventions for these exercises

Quantity	Convention
Dynkin index	$T(\square) = T(\overline{\square}) = \frac{1}{2}$
Cubic anomaly	$A(\square) = 1, A(\overline{\square}) = -1$
R -charge (superfield)	$R[Q] = (N_f - N_c)/N_f; R[W] = 2$
R -charge (fermion)	$R_{\text{ferm}} = R_{\text{sf}} - 1$ (chiral); $R_\lambda = +1$ (gaugino)
Baryon charges	$B[Q] = +1, B[\tilde{Q}] = -1; B[q] = N_c/(N_f - N_c)$
N_f counting	Dirac pairs $(Q_i, \tilde{Q}_i)$
Magnetic theory	$\text{SU}(N_f - N_c)$ with $(q, \tilde{q}, M); W_{\text{mag}} = \frac{1}{\mu} M q \tilde{q}$

References: Lecture 3 (§4, anomaly matching); Lecture 4 (§5, APS deformation).

Solutions can be cross-checked against `scripts/verify_anomaly_matching.py`.

Problem 1: Anomaly Matching for $\text{SU}(3)$ with $N_f = 6$ ★★ (30 min)

Background. In Lecture 3, we verified all six independent 't Hooft anomaly coefficients as polynomial identities in N_c and N_f . In this problem, you will carry out the explicit numerical computation for the benchmark case $N_c = 3, N_f = 6$.

Setup. The electric theory is $\text{SU}(3)$ with $6 \times (Q, \tilde{Q})$ and $W = 0$. The magnetic dual is $\text{SU}(6 - 3) = \text{SU}(3)$ with $6 \times (q, \tilde{q})$, gauge-singlet mesons M^i_j (6×6 matrix), and $W_{\text{mag}} = (1/\mu) M q \tilde{q}$.

Questions.

- (a) **Quantum numbers.** Write down the complete quantum number tables for both theories, including both superfield and fermion R -charges. Use the values:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{\text{sf}}[Q] &= (6 - 3)/6 = 1/2, & R_{\text{ferm}}[Q] &= -1/2, \\
 R_{\text{sf}}[q] &= 3/6 = 1/2, & R_{\text{ferm}}[q] &= -1/2, \\
 R_{\text{sf}}[M] &= 2(6 - 3)/6 = 1, & R_{\text{ferm}}[M] &= 0, \\
 B[q] &= 3/(6 - 3) = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) **Compute all six anomaly coefficients** on both sides:

- (i) $SU(6)_L^3$
- (ii) $SU(6)_R^3$
- (iii) $SU(6)_L^2 U(1)_B$
- (iv) $SU(6)_L^2 U(1)_R$
- (v) $U(1)_B^2 U(1)_R$
- (vi) $\text{Tr}[R_{\text{ferm}}]$ (gravitational anomaly)

(c) Verify that all six coefficients match.

Hint: For the mixed anomaly $SU(N_f)_L^2 U(1)_X$, the formula is $\mathcal{A} = \sum_f (\text{gauge mult}) \times T(R_L^{(f)}) \times X_f$, summed over all fermions charged under $SU(N_f)_L$. Remember that only fermion (not superfield) R -charges appear in anomaly triangles.

Solution to Problem 1. (a) Quantum number tables.

Electric theory ($SU(3)$ gauge, $N_f = 6$):

Field	$SU(3)_{\text{gauge}}$	$SU(6)_L$	$SU(6)_R$	$U(1)_B$	R_{sf}	R_{ferm}
Q	$\square$	$\square$	$\mathbf{1}$	+1	1/2	-1/2
$\tilde{Q}$	$\overline{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{\square}$	-1	1/2	-1/2
λ	adj	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	+1	+1

Magnetic theory ($SU(3)_{\text{mag}}$ gauge, $N_f = 6$):

Field	$SU(3)_{\text{mag}}$	$SU(6)_L$	$SU(6)_R$	$U(1)_B$	R_{sf}	R_{ferm}
q	$\square$	$\overline{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	+1	1/2	-1/2
$\tilde{q}$	$\overline{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	-1	1/2	-1/2
M	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$\overline{\square}$	0	1	0
λ_{mag}	adj	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	0	+1	+1

Note: $B[q] = N_c/(N_f - N_c) = 3/3 = 1$, which coincidentally equals $B[Q]$ for this particular case.

(b) Anomaly coefficient computations.

(i) $SU(6)_L^3$.

Electric: Only Q transforms under $SU(6)_L$ (as $\square$), with 3 gauge colours:

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{el}} = 3 \times A(\square) = 3 \times 1 = \boxed{3}.$$

Magnetic: Two fields contribute:

- q : 3 mag. colours, $\overline{\square}$ under $SU(6)_L$: $3 \times A(\overline{\square}) = 3 \times (-1) = -3$.
- M : gauge singlet, $\square$ under $SU(6)_L$ with 6 copies (from the $SU(6)_R$ antifund index): $6 \times A(\square) = 6 \times 1 = 6$.

$$\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{mag}} = -3 + 6 = \boxed{3}. \quad \checkmark$$

(ii) $\text{SU}(6)_R^3$.

By left-right symmetry ($Q \leftrightarrow \tilde{Q}$, $q \leftrightarrow \tilde{q}$, $M^i_j \rightarrow M^j_i$):

Electric: $\tilde{Q}$ in $\overline{\square}$ of $\text{SU}(6)_R$, 3 colours: $3 \times A(\overline{\square}) = -3$.

Magnetic: $\tilde{q}$ in $\square$ of $\text{SU}(6)_R$, 3 mag colours: $3 \times A(\square) = 3$. M in $\overline{\square}$ of $\text{SU}(6)_R$ with 6 copies: $6 \times A(\overline{\square}) = -6$. Total: $3 - 6 = -3$.

$$\mathcal{A}_2^{\text{el}} = -3 = \mathcal{A}_2^{\text{mag}}. \quad \checkmark$$

(iii) $\text{SU}(6)_L^2 \text{U}(1)_B$.

Formula: $\mathcal{A} = \sum_f (\text{gauge mult}) \times T(R_L) \times B_f$.

Electric: Q : 3 colours, $\square$ of $\text{SU}(6)_L$, $B = +1$:

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\text{el}} = 3 \times \frac{1}{2} \times 1 = \boxed{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Magnetic:

- q : 3 colours, $\overline{\square}$ of $\text{SU}(6)_L$, $B = 1$: $3 \times \frac{1}{2} \times 1 = \frac{3}{2}$.
- M : $B = 0$, no contribution.

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\text{mag}} = \boxed{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad \checkmark$$

(iv) $\text{SU}(6)_L^2 \text{U}(1)_R$.

Formula: $\mathcal{A} = \sum_f (\text{gauge mult}) \times T(R_L) \times R_{\text{ferm},f}$.

Electric: Q : 3 colours, $\square$ of $\text{SU}(6)_L$, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$:

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{\text{el}} = 3 \times \frac{1}{2} \times (-\frac{1}{2}) = \boxed{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Magnetic:

- q : 3 mag colours, $\overline{\square}$ of $\text{SU}(6)_L$, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$: $3 \times \frac{1}{2} \times (-\frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{3}{4}$.
- M : 6 copies, $\square$ of $\text{SU}(6)_L$, $R_{\text{ferm}} = 0$: $6 \times \frac{1}{2} \times 0 = 0$.

$$\mathcal{A}_4^{\text{mag}} = -\frac{3}{4} + 0 = \boxed{-\frac{3}{4}}. \quad \checkmark$$

(v) $\text{U}(1)_B^2 \text{U}(1)_R$.

Formula: $\mathcal{A} = \sum_f n_f \times B_f^2 \times R_{\text{ferm},f}$, where n_f is the total multiplicity (gauge $\times$ flavour).

Electric:

- Q : $3 \times 6 = 18$ fermions, $B = 1$, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$: $18 \times 1 \times (-1/2) = -9$.
- $\tilde{Q}$: 18 fermions, $B = -1$, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$: $18 \times 1 \times (-1/2) = -9$.
- Gaugino: $B = 0$, no contribution.

$$\mathcal{A}_5^{\text{el}} = -9 + (-9) = \boxed{-18}.$$

Magnetic:

- q : $3 \times 6 = 18$ fermions, $B = 1$, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$: $18 \times 1 \times (-1/2) = -9$.
- $\tilde{q}$: 18 fermions, $B = -1$, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$: $18 \times 1 \times (-1/2) = -9$.
- M : $B = 0$, no contribution.
- Gaugino: $B = 0$, no contribution.

$$\mathcal{A}_5^{\text{mag}} = -9 + (-9) = \boxed{-18}. \quad \checkmark$$

(vi) $\text{Tr}[R_{\text{ferm}}]$ (gravitational).

Electric:

- Q : 18 fermions, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$: $18 \times (-1/2) = -9$.
- $\tilde{Q}$: 18 fermions, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$: -9 .
- Gaugino: $3^2 - 1 = 8$ fermions, $R_{\text{ferm}} = +1$: $+8$.

$$\mathcal{A}_6^{\text{el}} = -9 - 9 + 8 = \boxed{-10}.$$

Magnetic:

- q : $3 \times 6 = 18$ fermions, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$: -9 .
- $\tilde{q}$: 18 fermions, $R_{\text{ferm}} = -1/2$: -9 .
- M : $6^2 = 36$ fermions, $R_{\text{ferm}} = 0$: 0 .
- Gaugino: $3^2 - 1 = 8$ fermions, $R_{\text{ferm}} = +1$: $+8$.

$$\mathcal{A}_6^{\text{mag}} = -9 - 9 + 0 + 8 = \boxed{-10}. \quad \checkmark$$

(c) Summary table.

Coefficient	Electric	Magnetic	Match?
$\text{SU}(6)_L^3$	3	3	✓
$\text{SU}(6)_R^3$	-3	-3	✓
$\text{SU}(6)_L^2 \text{U}(1)_B$	3/2	3/2	✓
$\text{SU}(6)_L^2 \text{U}(1)_R$	-3/4	-3/4	✓
$\text{U}(1)_B^2 \text{U}(1)_R$	-18	-18	✓
$\text{Tr}[R]$ (gravitational)	-10	-10	✓

All six independent anomaly coefficients match. These values are consistent with the output of `scripts/verify_anomaly_matching.py` for $N_c = 3$, $N_f = 6$. $\square$

Problem 2: Mass Deformation from $N_f = 6$ to $N_f = 5$ ★★ (20 min)

Background. A powerful consistency check of Seiberg duality is that giving a mass to one flavour on both sides of the duality produces the correct dual pair with $N_f - 1$ flavours. This was presented in Lecture 3, §5, for general (N_c, N_f) .

Questions.

Start with $SU(3)$ SQCD with $N_f = 6$ flavours. Add a mass term for the 6th flavour: $\Delta W = m Q_6 \tilde{Q}^6$.

- What is the resulting **electric** theory after integrating out the massive quarks?
- What is the **magnetic** dual of this deformed theory? (Use the general Seiberg duality rule.)
- On the magnetic side of the *original* ($N_c = 3, N_f = 6$) theory, the mass deformation maps to $\Delta W = m M^6_6$ (via $M^6_6 = Q_6 \tilde{Q}^6$). Show that the F -term equation for M^6_6 forces $\langle q_6 \tilde{q}^6 \rangle \neq 0$, and explain how this *Higgses* the magnetic gauge group from $SU(3)$ to $SU(2)$.
- As a check, verify the anomaly coefficient $SU(5)_L^3$ for the deformed dual pair $(SU(3), N_f = 5) \leftrightarrow (SU(2), N_f = 5)$.

Hint for (c): The total magnetic superpotential is $W = (1/\mu) M^i_j q_i \tilde{q}^j + m M^6_6$. Setting $\partial W / \partial M^6_6 = 0$ gives $q_6 \tilde{q}^6 = -\mu m$.

Solution to Problem 2. (a) The mass term $m Q_6 \tilde{Q}^6$ makes the 6th flavour heavy. Below the scale m , integrating out Q_6 and $\tilde{Q}^6$ leaves:

Electric: $SU(3)$ with $N_f = 5$ flavours, $W = 0$.

(b) Applying the Seiberg duality rule to $SU(3)$ with $N_f = 5$:

$$\text{Magnetic gauge group: } SU(N_f - N_c) = SU(5 - 3) = SU(2),$$

with 5 magnetic quark pairs $(q_i, \tilde{q}^i)$, a 5×5 meson matrix M' , and $W_{\text{mag}} = (1/\mu') M' q \tilde{q}$.

Magnetic: $SU(2)$ with $N_f = 5$ flavours + $M' + W_{\text{mag}}$.

(c) The total superpotential of the magnetic $SU(3)$ theory with the mass deformation is:

$$W = \frac{1}{\mu} M^i_j q_i \tilde{q}^j + m M^6_6.$$

The F -term equation for M^6_6 is:

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial M^6_6} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \frac{1}{\mu} q_6 \tilde{q}^6 + m = 0 \quad \implies \quad \langle q_6 \tilde{q}^6 \rangle = -\mu m \neq 0.$$

The nonzero VEV of q_6 (in the fundamental of $SU(3)_{\text{mag}}$) breaks the magnetic gauge group by the Higgs mechanism:

$$SU(3)_{\text{mag}} \xrightarrow{\langle q_6 \rangle \neq 0} SU(2)_{\text{mag}}.$$

After integrating out the massive fields $(q_6, \tilde{q}^6, M^6_j, M^i_6)$, the surviving magnetic theory is precisely $SU(2)$ with 5 flavours plus the 5×5 meson M' , matching the prediction of part (b).
 $\checkmark$

(d) Check $SU(5)_L^3$ for $(SU(3), N_f = 5) \leftrightarrow (SU(2), N_f = 5)$.

Electric: Q in $\square$ of $SU(5)_L$, 3 colours: $\mathcal{A}^{\text{el}} = 3 \times 1 = 3$.

Magnetic: Magnetic gauge group is $SU(2)$.

- q : 2 mag colours, $\overline{\square}$ of $SU(5)_L$: $2 \times (-1) = -2$.
- M' : 5 copies, $\square$ of $SU(5)_L$: $5 \times 1 = 5$.

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{mag}} = -2 + 5 = 3.$$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{A}^{\text{el}} = 3 = \mathcal{A}^{\text{mag}}}. \quad \checkmark$$

□

Problem 3: R -charges and the Superpotential

★★ (15 min)

Background. The non-anomalous R -symmetry plays a central role in Seiberg duality. At the superconformal fixed point, the R -charge determines the scaling dimension of chiral primary operators via $\Delta = \frac{3}{2} |R|$.

Questions.

- (a) **Derive $R[Q]$.** For $SU(N_c)$ SQCD with N_f massless flavours, the anomaly-free R -symmetry satisfies $\text{Tr}[SU(N_c)^2 U(1)_R] = 0$. Using the fermion R -charges and the fact that the gaugino has $R_{\text{ferm}} = +1$, derive:

$$R[Q] = \frac{N_f - N_c}{N_f}.$$

- (b) **Magnetic R -charges.** Using the Seiberg duality map and the requirement $R[W_{\text{mag}}] = 2$, determine $R[q]$, $R[\tilde{q}]$, and $R[M]$ as functions of N_c and N_f .
- (c) **Verify $R[W_{\text{mag}}] = 2$.** Show that

$$R[M] + R[q] + R[\tilde{q}] = 2.$$

- (d) **Conformal dimension and the conformal window.** At the IR fixed point, the conformal dimension of a chiral primary scalar operator $\mathcal{O}$ is $\Delta(\mathcal{O}) = \frac{3}{2} R[\mathcal{O}]$. Compute the dimension of the meson $M = Q\tilde{Q}$ in the electric theory. For which value of N_f does $\Delta[M] = 1$ (free field)? What is the physical significance of this value?

Hint for (a): The anomaly coefficient $\text{Tr}[SU(N_c)^2 U(1)_R]$ receives contributions from all fermions charged under the gauge group: Q -fermions, $\tilde{Q}$ -fermions, and the gaugino. Each contributes $T(R) \times R_{\text{ferm}}$.

Solution to Problem 3. (a) The anomaly $\text{Tr}[SU(N_c)^2 U(1)_R] = 0$ requires the sum over all gauge-charged fermions:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= N_f \cdot T(\square) \cdot R_{\text{ferm}}[Q] + N_f \cdot T(\overline{\square}) \cdot R_{\text{ferm}}[\tilde{Q}] + T(\text{adj}) \cdot R_{\text{ferm}}[\lambda] \\ &= N_f \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (R[Q] - 1) + N_f \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (R[\tilde{Q}] - 1) + N_c \cdot 1 \\ &= N_f (R[Q] - 1) + N_c. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Solving for $R[Q]$:

$$N_f R[Q] = N_f - N_c \quad \implies \quad \boxed{R[Q] = \frac{N_f - N_c}{N_f}}.$$

(b) The meson field M maps to the composite $Q\tilde{Q}$, so its R -charge is:

$$R[M] = R[Q] + R[\tilde{Q}] = 2 \frac{N_f - N_c}{N_f}.$$

From the requirement $R[W_{\text{mag}}] = R[M] + R[q] + R[\tilde{q}] = 2$ and the symmetry $R[q] = R[\tilde{q}]$:

$$R[q] = R[\tilde{q}] = \frac{2 - R[M]}{2} = \frac{2 - 2(N_f - N_c)/N_f}{2} = \frac{N_c}{N_f}.$$

$$\boxed{R[q] = R[\tilde{q}] = \frac{N_c}{N_f}, \quad R[M] = \frac{2(N_f - N_c)}{N_f}.}$$

(c) Verification:

$$R[M] + R[q] + R[\tilde{q}] = \frac{2(N_f - N_c)}{N_f} + \frac{N_c}{N_f} + \frac{N_c}{N_f} = \frac{2N_f - 2N_c + 2N_c}{N_f} = \frac{2N_f}{N_f} = 2. \quad \checkmark$$

(d) The conformal dimension of the meson is:

$$\Delta[M] = \frac{3}{2} R[M] = \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{2(N_f - N_c)}{N_f} = \frac{3(N_f - N_c)}{N_f}. \quad (2)$$

Setting $\Delta[M] = 1$ (the dimension of a free scalar field):

$$\frac{3(N_f - N_c)}{N_f} = 1, \quad (3)$$

$$3N_f - 3N_c = N_f, \quad (4)$$

$$2N_f = 3N_c, \quad (5)$$

$$N_f = \frac{3N_c}{2}. \quad (6)$$

$$\boxed{\Delta[M] = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad N_f = \frac{3N_c}{2}.}$$

Physical significance: $N_f = 3N_c/2$ is the *lower boundary of the SUSY conformal window*. At this value, the meson becomes a free field and decouples from the interacting sector. Below $N_f = 3N_c/2$, the conformal dimension would fall below the unitarity bound $\Delta \geq 1$, signalling that the conformal description breaks down. The magnetic theory enters the free magnetic phase: it is IR-free, and the correct description is in terms of the weakly-coupled magnetic quarks and mesons.

This connects directly to Exercise Set 2, Problem 3(c), where the conformal window was determined from the unitarity bound. $\square$

Problem 4: s -Confinement at $N_f = N_c + 1$

★ ★ ★ (25 min)

Background. The case $N_f = N_c + 1$ is a limiting case of Seiberg duality where the magnetic gauge group becomes trivial. The theory s -confines: it confines without chiral symmetry breaking, and the low-energy description consists entirely of gauge-singlet composite fields. This was first analysed by Seiberg [1] and systematically by Intriligator and Seiberg [2].

Questions.

- (a) In the Seiberg dual of $SU(N_c)$ SQCD with $N_f = N_c + 1$ flavours, what is the magnetic gauge group?
- (b) Since the magnetic gauge group is trivial, the magnetic “quarks” q_i and $\tilde{q}^j$ are gauge-singlet fields. These are identified with the electric baryons:

$$B_i \longleftrightarrow q_i, \quad \tilde{B}^j \longleftrightarrow \tilde{q}^j.$$

Together with the mesons M^i_j , these form the complete set of light degrees of freedom. Write the most general superpotential consistent with the global symmetries $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_R$.

- (c) Show that the exact confining superpotential takes the form:

$$W = \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2N_c-1}} \left(B_i M^i_j \tilde{B}^j - \det M \right). \quad (7)$$

Verify the **dimensional consistency**: show that each term has dimension $[\text{mass}]^3$.

- (d) For $N_c = 3$, $N_f = 4$, verify the anomaly coefficient $SU(4)_L^3$ for the confined description (mesons M , baryons $B_i, \tilde{B}^j$) against the fundamental description $(Q, \tilde{Q}, \lambda)$.

Hints:

- (a) Apply $SU(N_f - N_c) = SU((N_c + 1) - N_c) = SU(1)$.
- (c) The mesons M have dimension $[\text{mass}]$ (see CONVENTIONS.md). The baryons B are products of N_c fundamental fields, each of dimension $[\text{mass}]$, so $[B] = [\text{mass}]^{N_c}$. The dynamical scale Λ has $[\Lambda] = [\text{mass}]$.
- (d) The baryon B_i transforms as $\bar{\square}$ of $SU(N_f)_L$ (antisymmetric product of N_c fundamentals with $N_f = N_c + 1$ gives the antifundamental of $SU(N_c + 1)_L$).

Solution to Problem 4. (a) The magnetic gauge group is:

$$SU(N_f - N_c) = SU((N_c + 1) - N_c) = SU(1).$$

But $SU(1)$ is the trivial group (containing only the identity). Therefore:

There is **no** magnetic gauge group.

The magnetic theory has no gauge dynamics: all “magnetic” fields are gauge singlets.

- (b) The confined degrees of freedom and their quantum numbers under $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_R$:

Field	$SU(N_f)_L$	$SU(N_f)_R$	$U(1)_B$	R_{sf}
M^i_j	$\square$	$\overline{\square}$	0	$2(N_f - N_c)/N_f = 2/(N_c + 1)$
B_i	$\overline{\square}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$+N_c$	$N_c(N_f - N_c)/N_f = N_c/(N_c + 1)$
$\tilde{B}^j$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\square$	$-N_c$	$N_c/(N_c + 1)$

(Here $B_i = \epsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{N_c}} Q_{a_1}^{i_1} \dots Q_{a_{N_c}}^{i_{N_c}}$ transforms as the N_c -th antisymmetric product of $\square$ under $SU(N_f)_L$; for $N_f = N_c + 1$, this is the $\overline{\square}$ of $SU(N_c + 1)_L$.)

The most general superpotential consistent with these symmetries is:

$$W = \frac{\alpha}{\Lambda^{2N_c-1}} B_i M^i_j \tilde{B}^j + \frac{\beta}{\Lambda^{2N_c-1}} \det M, \quad (8)$$

where α and β are dimensionless constants. These are the only two independent invariants that can be formed:

- $B_i M^i_j \tilde{B}^j$ contracts all flavour indices and has the correct R -charge, baryon charge, and flavour transformation properties.
- $\det M$ is an $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$ invariant with $B = 0$ and $R = N_f \times 2/(N_c + 1) = 2$ (using $N_f = N_c + 1$).

(c) The exact result from [1,2] is $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = -1$:

$$W = \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2N_c-1}} (B_i M^i_j \tilde{B}^j - \det M).$$

(The relative sign and normalisation are fixed by requiring smooth limits as various masses are taken to infinity, matching the ADS superpotential for $N_f < N_c$, and by instanton calculations.)

Dimensional check. We need $[W] = [\text{mass}]^3$:

- $[M] = [\text{mass}]$ (elementary meson field).
- $[B] = [\text{mass}]^{N_c}$ (product of N_c fundamentals, each of dimension $[\text{mass}]$).
- $[\Lambda] = [\text{mass}]$.

For the first term:

$$\frac{[B \cdot M \cdot \tilde{B}]}{[\Lambda^{2N_c-1}]} = \frac{[\text{mass}]^{N_c} \cdot [\text{mass}] \cdot [\text{mass}]^{N_c}}{[\text{mass}]^{2N_c-1}} = \frac{[\text{mass}]^{2N_c+1}}{[\text{mass}]^{2N_c-1}} = [\text{mass}]^2.$$

Wait — this gives $[\text{mass}]^2$, not $[\text{mass}]^3$. We need to be more careful about the dimension of B .

Corrected dimensional analysis. In SQCD, the elementary chiral superfield Q has classical dimension $[Q] = [\text{mass}]$ (the scalar component of a chiral superfield has mass dimension 1 in $d = 4$). The baryon operator is:

$$B = \epsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{N_c}} Q_{a_1}^{i_1} \dots Q_{a_{N_c}}^{i_{N_c}}, \quad [B] = [\text{mass}]^{N_c}.$$

The meson $M^i_j = Q^i \tilde{Q}_j$ has $[M] = [\text{mass}]^2$ in the *electric* theory (it is a composite of two dimension-1 fields).

In the *magnetic* (confined) description, M is an elementary field with $[M] = [\text{mass}]^2$ inherited from the duality map. (Alternatively, at the conformal fixed point, the dimension is $\Delta[M] = (3/2)R[M]$; but for the superpotential counting, we use the UV dimension.)

Now:

$$\frac{[B \cdot M \cdot \tilde{B}]}{[\Lambda^{2N_c-1}]} = \frac{[\text{mass}]^{N_c} \cdot [\text{mass}]^2 \cdot [\text{mass}]^{N_c}}{[\text{mass}]^{2N_c-1}} = \frac{[\text{mass}]^{2N_c+2}}{[\text{mass}]^{2N_c-1}} = [\text{mass}]^3. \quad \checkmark$$

For $\det M$ with $N_f = N_c + 1$:

$$\frac{[\det M]}{[\Lambda^{2N_c-1}]} = \frac{[\text{mass}]^{2N_f}}{[\text{mass}]^{2N_c-1}} = \frac{[\text{mass}]^{2(N_c+1)}}{[\text{mass}]^{2N_c-1}} = [\text{mass}]^{2N_c+2-2N_c+1} = [\text{mass}]^3. \quad \checkmark$$

Both terms have the correct dimension.

(d) Anomaly check for $\text{SU}(4)_L^3$ with $N_c = 3$, $N_f = 4$.

Electric (fundamental) theory:

$$Q \text{ in } \square \text{ of } \text{SU}(4)_L, \quad 3 \text{ colours: } \mathcal{A}^{\text{el}} = 3 \times A(\square) = 3 \times 1 = 3.$$

Confined theory:

- M_j^i : transforms as $\square$ under $\text{SU}(4)_L$, with 4 copies (from $\text{SU}(4)_R$ antifund index): $4 \times A(\square) = 4 \times 1 = 4$.
- B_i : transforms as $\overline{\square}$ of $\text{SU}(4)_L$ (the 3-index antisymmetric product of $\square$ of $\text{SU}(4)$ is $\overline{\square}$ of $\text{SU}(4)$, since $\binom{4}{3} = 4$ and it transforms as the dual): $1 \times A(\overline{\square}) = 1 \times (-1) = -1$.
- $\tilde{B}^j$: singlet under $\text{SU}(4)_L$, no contribution.

$$\mathcal{A}^{\text{conf}} = 4 + (-1) + 0 = 3.$$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{A}^{\text{el}} = 3 = \mathcal{A}^{\text{conf}}}. \quad \checkmark$$

Remark 0.1 (The s-confinement programme). The result $\text{SU}(N_c)$ with $N_f = N_c + 1$ is the simplest case of *s-confinement* (confinement without chiral symmetry breaking). The systematic classification of all s-confining theories was carried out by Csaki, Schmaltz, and Skiba [3]. The existence of an exact confining superpotential (7) is one of the most striking predictions of supersymmetric dynamics.

□

End of Exercise Set 3. These exercises provide hands-on practice with the core machinery of Seiberg duality: anomaly matching, mass deformations, R-charge analysis, and s-confinement. The tools developed here will be essential when we apply duality to the Standard Model in Lectures 5–7.

References

- [1] N. Seiberg, “Electric–magnetic duality in supersymmetric non-Abelian gauge theories,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B435** (1995) 129 [[hep-th/9411149](#)].
- [2] K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, “Lectures on supersymmetric gauge theories and electric-magnetic duality,” *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **45BC** (1996) 1 [[hep-th/9509066](#)].
- [3] C. Csáki, M. Schmaltz, and W. Skiba, “A systematic approach to confinement in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 799 [[hep-th/9610139](#)].
- [4] F. Sannino *et al.*, “The Dualised Standard Model,” [[arXiv:2407.17281](#)].